

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/668,864	09/25/2000		Ridha Radhouane		2783	
29636	7590	01/13/2005		EXAMINER		
RIDHA RA			KOVAL, MELISSA J			
850 SOMER SUNNYVAL		· <del></del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	<b>,</b>			2851	<del></del> ;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ę.		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/668,864	09/668,864 RADHOUANE, RIDHA		DHA				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Melissa J K		2851					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wire ply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. days, a reply within the statute tory period will apply and will till, by statute, cause the applic.	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 July 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠		o)☐ This action is no	n-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>8-16</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are viction Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) <u>16</u> are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consid							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			<del>/</del>				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2004 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	• , ,	•	` '					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to large								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations  See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been f the priority documer al Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati its have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmer	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		O	(DTO 440)					
2) D Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		1)	ate					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · - · · - /	5) Notice of Informal P 5) Other:	atent Application (PTC	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2851

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 8 through 15 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The original claims were directed to a method. Newly filed claims 8 through 15 are directed to an automated adjustment system for video projectors.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 8 through 15 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

#### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed July 13, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The specification filed September 25, 2000 is very general. The "Detailed Description of the Invention" on pages 3 and 4 of the originally filed specification teaches a video camera wherein a capture system comprising a CCD video camera is arranged to somehow achieve the conditions of auto-focus, auto-brightness, auto-contrast and auto-color saturation.

However-no more specific details-are-given as-to-how-these-conditions-are-achieved.

Although a method is claimed, no method steps are outlined, nor are flowcharts shown.

Art Unit: 2851

The newly filed specification of July 13, 2004 adds figures, see their description on page 4. Furthermore, the new specification gives much more detail about the overall projection system, i.e. introducing the concept of a system in addition to a method. In the original specification there is no suggestion of the newly appearing video interface 102, processor 200, Software block 'Auto Optical Functions' 202, 'Auto Imaging Functions' 203, or control signal 205, for example.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original specification does not support the newly filed claims for the reasons already given.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Actor of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Art Unit: 2851

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamasaki et al. U.S. Patent 6,695,451 B1.

Refer to Figures 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 16 and 18 of '451 B1, for example.

Claim 16 sets forth: "A method for automating the projection adjustments for video projectors comprising the steps of:

- a) Inputting a video frame through a video projector interface (See Figure 5 and frame buffer block 0520 in addition to external image input 0180 of Figure 1);
- b) Projecting the input video frame on a projection screen (projection screen 0140);
- c) Capturing said projection screen with a capture assembly (See Figure 16 and screen state monitor camera 0130.);
- d) Analyzing the captured projection screen with a processor (See Figure 2 and image signal control unit 0110.);
- e) Computing new settings: focus, brightness, contrast and color saturation with said processor (See the flowchart of Figure 18.);
  - f) Updating the settings when necessary with said processor;
  - g)-Repeat-a-through-e-for-the-next-input-video-frame.-- -----

Art Unit: 2851

With respect to steps "f" and "g" of claim 16, any of the flowcharts shown in Figures 8, 9, 11, 16, and 18, for example, provide for a repetition of steps depending on a set of conditions.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Anderson U.S. Patent 5,745,175 teaches a method and system for providing automatic focus control for a still digital camera.

Rosenqvist U.S. Patent 6,590,612 B1 teaches an optical system and method for composing color images from chromatically non-compensated optics.

Fujita et al. U.S. Patent 6,412,956 B2 teaches an image projection system.

Potts et al. U.S. Patent 6,593,956 B1 teaches locating an audio source.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO-MONTHS-of-the-mailing-date of-this-final-action-and-the-advisory-action-is-not—mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/668,864

Art Unit: 2851

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa J Koval whose telephone number is (571) 272-2121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJK

JUDY NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER